

# On Stochastic Adaptive Control & its Applications

Bozenna Pasik-Duncan  
University of Kansas, USA

ASEAS Workshop, AFOSR, 23-24 March, 2009

1. Motivation: Work in the 1970's
2. Adaptive Control of Continuous Time Stochastic Linear, Semilinear, and Nonlinear Systems
3. Noise in the Systems Modeled by:
  - a) Brownian Motion
  - b) Cylindrical White Noise
  - c) Fractional Brownian Motion
4. Difficulties Arising in Solving Stochastic Adaptive Control Problems
5. Computational Aspects of Stochastic Adaptive Control
6. Some Applications
7. Open Problems

# What is Adaptive Control?

“In everyday language, '*to adapt*' means to change a behavior to conform to new circumstances. Intuitively, an adaptive controller is thus a controller that can modify its behavior in response to changes in the dynamics of the process and the character of the disturbances.”

*-Astrom & Wittenmark Adaptive Control, 1995*

- Many physical systems experience perturbations or there are unmodeled dynamics in the systems.
- These occurrences can often affectively be modeled by a white noise perturbation.
- Examples show that noise may have a stabilizing or a destabilizing effect.

# Significance

- Industrial Models can often be described as controlled systems.
- System's behavior depends on the parameters and the fact that the value of the parameters is unknown makes the system unknown.
- Some crucial information concerning the system is not available to the controller and this information should be learned during the system's performance.
- The described problem is the problem of adaptive control.

# Identification and Adaptive Control

Adaptive Control Problem:  
Identification and Control

Solution to the Adaptive Control Problem:  
Strong consistency of the family of estimates

&

Self-optimality of an adaptive control that uses the family of estimates

The general approach to adaptive control that is described here exhibits a splitting or separation of identification and adaptive control.

# Identification:

Estimators used:

- Maximum likelihood
- Least Squares
- Weighted Least Squares

For some cases, the weighted least squares estimator is strongly consistent while the least squares estimator is not.

# Important Issues for Identification

- Strong consistency
- Recursivity
- Rate of convergence
- Asymptotic behavior of estimators



# Adaptive Control

The adaptive control constructed by the so-called certainty equivalence principle, that is the optimal stationary control, is computed by replacing the unknown parameter values by the current estimates of these values.

# Important Issues for Adaptive Control

- Self-tuning property

Asymptotically the adaptive control using the estimate of the unknown parameter is as good as the optimal control if we knew the system (the optimal stationary controls as continuous functions of unknown parameters).

- Self-optimizing property

The family of average costs converges to the optimal average costs.

- Numerical computations for adaptive control

# Focus on Identification and Adaptive Control of Continuous-Time Stochastic Systems

- Many models evolve in continuous time.
- It is important for the study of discrete time models when the sampling rates are large and for the analysis of numerical and round-off errors.
- Stochastic calculus provides powerful tools: stochastic integral, Ito's differential, martingales.

# Stochastic Adaptive Control Problems as Applications of the Stochastic Control Theory

We use the certainty equivalence control as an adaptive control, so we need the optimal control given explicitly or the nearly optimal control.

# Weighted Least Squares and Continuous Time Adaptive LQG Control

- Linear Gaussian control problem with ergodic, quadratic cost functional is probably the most well known ergodic control problem.
- It is a basic problem to solve for stochastic adaptive control since the optimal control can be easily computed and the existence and invariant measure follows directly from the stability of the optimal system.

- Problem is solved using only the natural assumptions of controllability and observability.
- Weighted least squares scheme is used to obtain the convergence of the family of estimates (self convergence).
- Scheme is modified by a random regularization to obtain the uniform controllability and observability of the family of estimates.
- A diminishing excitation white noise is used to obtain strong consistency.
- Excitation is sufficient to include the identification of unknown deterministic linear systems.

- The approach eliminates some other assumptions that have previously been used that are unnecessary for the control problem for a known system and are often difficult to verify.
- The approach eliminates the need for random switching or resetting which often occurred in previous work.

# Weighted Least Squares Identification

Let  $(X(t), t \geq 0)$  be the process that satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt + BU(t)dt + DdW(t)$$

or

$$dX(t) = \Theta^T \varphi(t) dt + Ddw(t)$$

where

$$\Theta^T = [A, B], \varphi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} X(t) \\ U(t) \end{bmatrix}$$



$$X(0) = X_0, X(t) \in R^n, U(t) \in R^m$$

is an  $R^p$  – valued standard Wiener process, and  $(U(t), t \geq 0)$  is a control from a family that is specified.

The random variables are defined on a fixed complete probability space  $(\Omega, F, P)$  and there is a filtration  $(F_t, t \geq 0)$  defined on this space. It is assumed that  $A, B$  are unknown.

A family of weighted least squares (WLS) estimates

$$(\hat{\Theta}(t), t \geq 0)$$

is given by:

$$d\hat{\Theta}(t) = a(t)P(t)\varphi(t)(dX^T(t) - \varphi(t)\hat{\Theta}(t)dt)$$

$$dP(t) = -a(t)P(t)\varphi(t)\varphi^T(t)P(t)dt$$

Where  $\Theta(0)$  is arbitrary,  $P(0) > 0$  is arbitrary and

$$a(t) = 1/f(\tau(t))$$

$$\tau(t) = e + \int_0^t |\varphi(s)|^2 ds$$

$$f \in F = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f: R_t \rightarrow R_t, f \text{ is slowly increasing} \\ \int_c^\infty \frac{dx}{xf(x)} < \infty \text{ for some } c \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

The ergodic cost functional is used

$$J(U) = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T [X^T(t) Q_1 X(t) + U^T(t) Q_2 U(t)] dt$$

where  $(U(t), t \geq 0)$  is an admissible control,  $Q_1 \geq 0$ ,  
 $Q_2 \geq 0$ .

We assume that  $(A, B)$  is controllable and that  $(A, Q_1^{1/2})$  is observable.

Adaptive Control: The diminishing excited lagged certainty equivalence control is used.

Identification: To obtain the strong consistency for the family of estimates, a diminishing excitation is added to the adaptive control.

The complete solution to the adaptive control problem with the most natural assumptions has been obtained [ 4 ].

Solution to the Adaptive Control Problem for Stochastic Continuous Time Linear and some Non-linear Systems has been obtained.

Stochastic Control Theory recently focuses on  
Identification and Control of stochastic systems with  
a noise modeled by a Fractional Brownian Motion.

In the recent paper (T. Duncan, B. Pasik-Duncan [ 5 ]), an adaptive control problem for a scalar linear stochastic control system perturbed by a fractional Brownian motion [ 3 ] with the Hurst parameter  $H$  in  $(1/2, 1)$  is solved. A necessary ingredient of a self-optimizing adaptive control is the corresponding optimal control for the known system. It seems that the optimal control problem has only been solved for a scalar system. In the solution of the adaptive control problem, a strongly consistent family of estimators of the unknown parameter are given and a certainty equivalence control is shown to be self-optimizing in an  $L^2(P)$  sense. It seems that this paper is the initial work on the adaptive control of such systems.

# Standard Fractional Brownian Motion

$(B(t), t \geq 0)$  is a standard fractional Brownian motion with  $H \in (0, 1)$  if it is a Gaussian process with continuous sample paths that satisfies

$$E[B(t)] = 0$$

$$E[B(s)B(t)] = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H})$$

for all  $s, t \in \mathfrak{R}_+$ .

# Standard Fractional Brownian Motion

Three properties of FBM:

1. Self-similarity

if  $a > 0$ , then  $(B(at), t \geq 0)$  and  $(a^H B(t), t \geq 0)$  have the same probability law,

2. Long-range dependence for  $H \in (1/2, 1)$

$$r_H(n) = E[(B(1) - B(0))(B(n+1) - B(n))]$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r_H(n) = \infty$$

3.  $p^{\text{th}}$  variation is nonzero and finite only for  $p = 1/H$ .



Since a (standard) fractional Brownian motion  $B$  with the Hurst parameter  $H \neq \frac{1}{2}$  is not a semimartingale, the stochastic calculus for a Brownian motion, or more generally for a continuous square integrable martingale, is not applicable. However, a stochastic calculus for a fractional Brownian motion particularly for  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  has been developed which preserves some of the properties for the (Itô) stochastic calculus for Brownian motion.

The linear-quadratic control problem is reviewed. Let  $(X(t), t \geq 0)$  be the real-valued process that satisfies the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}dX(t) &= \alpha_0 X(t) dt + bU(t) dt + dB(t) \\ X(0) &= X_0\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where  $X_0$  is a constant,  $(B(t), t \geq 0)$  is a standard fractional Brownian motion with the Hurst parameter  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in [a_1, a_2]$  and  $b \in \mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .

For  $t \geq 0$ , let  $\mathbf{F}_t$  be the P-completion of the sub- $\sigma$  algebra  $\sigma(B(u), 0 \leq u \leq t)$ . The family of sub- $\sigma$  algebras  $(\mathbf{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  is called the filtration associated with  $(B(t), t \geq 0)$ . Let  $(U(t), t \geq 0)$  be a process adapted to  $(\mathbf{F}_t, t \geq 0)$ . It is known that the filtration generated by  $(X(t), t \geq 0)$  is the same as the filtration generated by  $(B(t), t \geq 0)$ . The process  $U$  is adapted to the filtration  $(\mathbf{F}_t, t \geq 0)$  such that (1) has one and only one solution.

Consider the optimal control problem where the state  $X$  satisfies (1) and the ergodic (or average cost per unit time) cost function  $J$  is

$$J(U) = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (qX^2(t) + rU^2(t)) dt$$

where  $q > 0$  and  $r > 0$  are constants. The family  $\mathbf{U}$  of admissible controls is all  $(\mathbb{F}_t)$  adapted processes such that (1) has one and only one solution.

To introduce some notation, recall the well-known solution with  $H = 1/2$ , that is  $(B(t), t \geq 0)$  is a standard Brownian motion. An optimal control  $U^*$  is given by

$$U^*(t) = \frac{-b}{r} \rho_0 X^*(t)$$

where  $(X^*(t), t \geq 0)$  is the solution (1) with the control  $U^*$ ,  $\rho_0$  is the unique positive solution of the scalar algebraic Riccati equation

$$\frac{b^2}{r} \rho^2 - 2a \rho - q = 0$$

So

$$\rho_0 = \frac{r}{b^2} [\alpha_0 + \delta_0]$$

and

$$\delta_0 = \sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + \frac{b^2}{r} q}$$

Furthermore,

$$J(U^*) = \rho_0 \quad a.s.$$

The analogous problem was solved for  $H \in (1/2, 1) [1, 2]$ .

If  $\alpha_0$  is unknown, then it is important to find a family of strongly consistent estimators of the unknown parameter  $\alpha_0$  in (1). The method used by Duncan and Pasik-Duncan is called pseudo-least squares because it uses the least squares estimate for  $\alpha_0$  assuming  $H = 1/2$ , that is,  $B$  is a standard Brownian motion in (1). It is shown that the family of estimators  $(\hat{\alpha}(t), t \geq 0)$  is strongly consistent for  $H \in (1/2, 1)$  where

$$\hat{\alpha}(t) = \alpha_0 + \frac{\int_0^t X^0(s) dB(s)}{\int_0^t (X^0(s))^2 ds}$$

$$dX^0(t) = \alpha_0 X^0(t) dt + dB(t)$$

$$X^0(0) = X_0$$

This family of estimators can be obtained from (1) by removing the control term. The family of estimators  $\hat{\alpha}$  is modified here using the fact that  $\alpha_0 \in [a_1, a_2]$  as

$$\alpha(t) = \hat{\alpha}(t) 1_{[a_1, a_2]}(\hat{\alpha}(t)) + a_1 1_{(-\infty, a_1)}(\hat{\alpha}(t)) + a_2 1_{(a_2, \infty)}(\hat{\alpha}(t))$$

for  $t \geq 0$ .  $\hat{\alpha}(0)$  is chosen arbitrarily in  $[a_1, a_2]$ .



For the optimal control  $(U^*(t), t \geq 0)$ , the corresponding solution  $(X^*(t), t \geq 0)$  can be expressed as

$$X^*(t) = e^{-\delta_0 t} X_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\delta_0(t-s)} [ -(\alpha_0 + \delta_0) V^*(s) ds + dB(s) ]$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} dX^*(t) &= \alpha_0 X^*(t) dt - \frac{b^2}{r} \rho_0 [ X^*(t) + V^*(t) ] dt + dB(t) \\ &= -\delta_0 X^*(t) dt - (\alpha_0 + \delta_0) V^*(t) dt + dB(t) \end{aligned}$$

An adaptive control ( $U^\wedge(t), t \geq 0$ ), is obtained from the certainty equivalence principle, that is, at time  $t$ , the estimate  $\alpha(t)$  is assumed to be the correct value of the parameter. Thus the stochastic equation for the system (1) with the control  $U^\wedge$  is

$$dX^\wedge(t) = (\alpha_0 - \alpha(t) - \delta(t)) X^\wedge(t) dt - \frac{b\rho(t)}{r} V^\wedge(t) dt + dB(t)$$

$$dX^\wedge(t) = (-\alpha_0 - \alpha(t) - \delta(t)) X^\wedge dt - (\alpha(t) + \delta(t)) V^\wedge(t) dt + dB(t)$$

$$X^\wedge(0) = X_0$$

where

$$\delta(t) = \sqrt{\alpha^2(t) + \frac{b^2}{r} q}$$

$$U^\wedge(t) = \frac{-b\rho(t)}{r} [X^\wedge(t) + V^\wedge(t)]$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{r}{b^2} [\alpha(t) + \delta(t)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} V^\wedge(t) &= \int_0^t \tilde{\delta}(s) V^\wedge(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t [\tilde{k}(t,s) - 1] [dX^\wedge(s) - \alpha(s) X^\wedge(s) ds - bU^\wedge(s) ds] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^t \tilde{\delta}(s) V A(s) ds + \int_0^t [\tilde{k}(t, s) - 1] [dB(s) + (\alpha_0 - \alpha(t)) X A(s) ds]$$

$$\tilde{\delta}(t) = \delta(t) + \alpha(t) - \alpha_0$$

where  $\tilde{k}$  is defined in terms of  $\tilde{\delta}$  and a fractional integral [ 5 ]. Note that  $\delta(t) \geq -\alpha(t) + c$  for some  $c > 0$  and all  $t \geq 0$  so that

$$\alpha_0 - \alpha(t) - \delta(t) < -c$$

The solution of the stochastic equation is

$$X_A(t) = e^{-\int_0^t \tilde{\delta}} X_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s \tilde{\delta}} [-(\alpha(s) + \delta(s)) V_A(s) ds + dB(s)]$$

The following result states that the adaptive control  $(U^\wedge(t), t \geq 0)$  is self-optimizing in  $L^2(P)$ , that is, the family of average costs converge in  $L^2(P)$  to the optimal average cost.

Theorem [ 5 ]: Let  $(\alpha(t), t \geq 0)$  be the family of estimators of  $\alpha_0$ , let  $(U^\wedge(t), t \geq 0)$  be the associated adaptive control, and let  $(X^\wedge(t), t \geq 0)$  be the solution with the control  $U^\wedge$ . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} E \int_0^t |U^*(s) - U^\wedge(s)|^2 ds = 0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} E \int_0^t |X^*(s) - X^\wedge(s)|^2 ds = 0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} E \int_0^t (q(X^\wedge(s))^2 + r(U^*(s))^2) ds = \lambda$$

where  $\lambda$  is given above.

# References

- [ 1 ] M.L.Kleptsyna, A. Le Breton, and M. Viot, About the linear quadratic regulator problem under a fractional Brownian perturbation, {ESAIM Probab.Stat.} 9 (2003), 161-170.
  
- [ 2 ] M.L.Kleptsyna, A. Le Breton, and M.Viot, On the infinite time horizon linear-quadratic regulator problem under a fractional Brownian perturbation, {ESAIM Probab. Stgat.} 9 (2005), 185-205.
  
- [ 3 ] T.E. Duncan, Y.Z.Hu, and B.Pasik-Duncan, Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion I: Theory, {SIAM J. Control Optim.} 36 (2000), 582-612.
  
- [ 4 ] T.E. Duncan, L.Guo, and B.Pasik-Duncan, Adaptive continuous-time linear quadratic Gaussian control, {IEEE Trans.Autom.Control}, 44 (1999), 1653-1662.
  
- [ 5 ] T.E. Duncan and B.Pasik-Duncan, Adaptive control of a scalar linear stochastic system with a fractional Brownian motion, {Proc. IFAC World Congress}, Seoul, 2008.