

Recent Results in Large Population Mean Field Stochastic Dynamic Control Theory: Consensus Dynamics Derived from the NCE Equations

Peter E. Caines

Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering McGill University

Work with Minyi Huang, Roland Malhamé and Mojtaba Nourian

AFOSR Workshop Washington, DC USA March 2009

Overview

This research investigates:

 Decision-making in stochastic dynamical systems with many competing agents

Outline of contributions:

- Nash Certainty Equivalence (NCE) Methodology
- NCE for Linear-Quadratic-Gaussian (LQG) systems
- Connection with physics of interacting particle (IP) systems
- McK-V-HJB theory for fully nonlinear stochastic differential games
- Invariance principle for controlled population behaviour
- Models with interaction locality

Derivation the standard consensus dynamics from the NCE equations.

Physics–Behavior of huge number of essentially identical infinitesimal Interacting particles is basic to the formulation of statistical mechanics as founded by Boltzmann, Maxwell and Gibbs

Game Theoretic Control System – Many competing agents

- An ensemble of essentially identical players seeking individual interest
- Individual mass interaction
- Fundamental issue: how to relate individual actions to mass behavior?

Part I – Individual Dynamics and Costs

Individual dynamics:

$$dz_i = (a_i z_i + bu_i)dt + \alpha z^{(n)}dt + \sigma_i dw_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$
 (

 z_i : state of the *i*th agent

 $z^{(n)}$: the population mean $z^{(n)} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$

 \square u_i : control

 $- w_i$: noise (a standard Wiener process)

n: population size

For simplicity: Take the same control gain *b* for all agents.

Part I – Individ. Dynamics and Costs (ctn)

Individual costs:

$$J_i(u_i, \nu_i) = E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} [(z_i - \nu_i)^2 + ru_i^2] dt$$

We are interested in the case $\nu_i = \Phi(z^{(n)}) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \Phi(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n z_k)$ Φ : nonlinear and Lipschitz

Main feature and Objective:

Weak coupling via costs and dynamics

Connection with IP Systems (for model reduction in McKean-Vlasov setting) with be clear later on

Develop decentralized optimization

Part I – Motivational Background and Related Works

- Economic models (e.g., production output planning) where each agent receives average effect of others via Market (Lambson)
- Advertising competition game models (Erikson)
- Wireless network resource allocation (e.g., power control, HCM)
- Stochastic swarming (Morale et. al.); "selfish herd" (such as fish) reducing indiv. predation risk by joining group (Reluga & Viscido)
- Public health Voluntary vaccination games (Bauch & Earn)
- Industry dynamics with many firms (Weintraub, Benkard, & Roy)
- Mathematical physics and finance (Lasry and Lions)
- Admission control in communication networks.







Caines, 2009 – p.6/5

Part I – Motiv. Backgrd: Wireless Power Control

Lognormal channel attenuation (in dB):

 $dx_i = -a(\overline{x_i + b})dt + \sigma dw_i, \qquad 1 \le i \le n.$

Additive power adjustment: $dp_i = u_i dt$.

Individual Control Performance

$$E \int_0^T \left\{ [e^{x_i} p_i - \alpha (\frac{\beta}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j} p_j + \eta)]^2 + r u_i^2 \right\} dt.$$

• The factor $\frac{\beta}{n}$ is due to linear increase of length of CDMA spreading sequering w.r.t. user number. η : background noise.

Want matched filter output signal-to-interference ratio

$$\operatorname{SIR}_{output} = e^{x_i} p_i / \left[(\beta/n) \sum_{j=1}^n e^{x_j} p_j + \eta \right]$$

to stay near a certain larget level. e^{x_i} : power attenuation from user to base.

Part I – Control Synthesis via NCE



Under large population conditions, the mass effect concentrates into a deterministic quantity m(t).

A given agent only reacts to the mass effect m(t) and any other individual agent becomes invisible.

Key issue is the specification of m(t) and associated individual action -Look for certain consistency relationships

Part II – Preliminary Optimal LQG Tracking

Take $f, z^* \in C_b[0, \infty)$ (bounded continuous) for scalar model:

$$d\hat{z}_i = a_i \hat{z}_i dt + bu_i dt + \alpha f dt + \sigma_i dw_i$$
$$J_i(u_i, z^*) = E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} [(\hat{z}_i - z^*)^2 + ru_i^2] dt$$

Riccati Equation :
$$\rho \Pi_i = 2a_i \Pi_i - \frac{b^2}{r} \Pi_i^2 + 1, \qquad \Pi_i > 0.$$

Set $\beta_1 = -a_i + \frac{b^2}{r} \prod_i$, $\beta_2 = -a_i + \frac{b^2}{r} \prod_i + \rho$, and assume $\beta_1 > 0$.

Optimal Tracking Control \longrightarrow $\widehat{u}_i = -\frac{b}{r}(\Pi_i z_i + s_i)$ **Tracking Offset Equation** \longrightarrow $\rho s_i = \frac{ds_i}{dt} + a_i s_i - \frac{b^2}{r} \Pi_i s_i + \alpha \Pi_i f - z^*$

Boundedness conditions uniquely determine s_i .

Part II – Notation

Based on LQ Riccati equation, denote:

 $\left(\frac{r}{r}\right)$

$$\Pi_{a} = \left(\frac{b^{2}}{r}\right)^{-1} \left[a - \frac{\rho}{2} + \sqrt{\left(a - \frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{r}}\right],$$

$$\beta_{1}(a) = -\frac{\rho}{2} + \sqrt{\left(a - \frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{r}},$$

$$\beta_{2}(a) = \frac{\rho}{2} + \sqrt{\left(a - \frac{\rho}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{r}}.$$

$$(3)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Pi_{a} = \left(\frac{b^{2}}{r}\right)^{-1} (a + \beta_{1}(a)).$$

Part III – Population Parameter Distribution

Define empirical distribution associated with first n agents

$$F_n(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{(a_i < x)}}{n}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H1) There exists a distribution F s.t. $F_n \rightarrow F$ weakly.

Each agent is given its "a" parameter which it knows.

Information on Other Agents is available statistically in terms of the empirical distribution. Specifically, assume F is known.

Part III – LQG-NCE Equation Scheme

Assume zero initial mean, i.e., $Ez_i(0) = 0$, $i \ge 1$. Based on population limit, the Fundamental NCE equation system:

$$\rho s_a = \frac{ds_a}{dt} + as_a - \frac{b^2}{r} \Pi_a s_a + \alpha \Pi_a \bar{z} - z^*, \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{d\overline{z}_a}{dt} = (a - \frac{b^2}{r}\Pi_a)\overline{z}_a - \frac{b^2}{r}s_a + \alpha\overline{z},\tag{4}$$

$$\overline{z} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \overline{z}_a dF(a), \qquad ($$

Basic idea behind NCE(z^*) with parameters $F(\cdot)$, a, b, α, r :

Solve z^* tracking problem for one agent.

Use popul. average \overline{z} to approximate coupling term $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k}^{n} z_{k}$.

Individual action u_i is optimal response to z^* .

Collectively produce same z^* assumed in first place.

Part IV – Summary of NCE for LQG Model

Recall the system of n agents with dynamics:

$$dz_i = a_i z_i dt + bu_i dt + \alpha z^{(n)} dt + \sigma_i dw_i, \qquad 1 \le i \le n, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Let u_{-i} denote the row (u_1, \dots, u_n) with u_i deleted, and reexpress the individual cost

$$J_i(u_i, u_{-i}) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} \{ [z_i - \Phi(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n z_k)]^2 + r u_i^2 \} dt.$$

Denote the optimal control for the tracking problem with s_i pre-computed from the deterministic LQG NCE by

$$u_i^0 = -\frac{b}{r}(\Pi_i z_i + s_i), \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$

revealing the closed-loop fixed point form of the large population tracking problem!

Theorem (Existence and Uniqueness) The NCE (z^*) equation system has a unique bounded solution (\bar{z}_a, s_a) for each $a \in A$ subject to (H2)-(H3).

- (H1) There exists a distribution F s.t. $F_n \to F$ weakly. (restated) (H2) Φ is Lipschitz with parameter γ . (H3) Gain condition: $\int_{\mathcal{A}} \left[\frac{|\alpha|}{\beta_1(a)} + \frac{b^2(\gamma + |\alpha|\Pi_a)}{r\beta_1(a)\beta_2(a)} \right] dF(a) < 1$, and $\beta_1(a) > 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (H4) All agents have independent initial conditions with zero mean, and $\sup_{i\geq 1}[\sigma_i^2 + Ez_i^2(0)] < \infty$.

The *k*-th agent's admissible control set U_k consists of all feedback controls u_k adapted to $\sigma(z_i(\tau), \tau \le t, 1 \le i \le n)$.

Definition A set of controls $u_k \in U_k$, $1 \le k \le n$, for n players is called an ε -Mash equilibrium w.r.t. the costs J_k , $1 \le k \le n$, if there exists $\varepsilon \ge 0$ such that for any fixed $1 \le i \le n$, we have

$$J_i(u_i, u_{-i}) \le J_i(u'_i, u_{-i}) + \varepsilon,$$

when any alternative $u'_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ is applied by the *i*-th player.

Part IV – Stability and Equilibria

Theorem The set of controls $\{u_i^0, 1 \le i \le n\}$ results in second order stability & an ε -Nash equilibrm w.r.t. costs $J_i(u_i, u_{-i}), 1 \le i \le n$, i.e.,

$$J_i(u_i^0, u_{-i}^0) - \varepsilon \le \inf_{u_i} J_i(u_i, u_{-i}^0) \le J_i(u_i^0, u_{-i}^0)$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $u_i \in \mathcal{U}_i$ is any alternative control which depends on (t, z_1, \dots, z_n) , and

$$u_i^0 = -\frac{b}{r}(\Pi_i z_i + s_i).$$

- For uniform agents, $\varepsilon = O(1/\sqrt{n})$.
- For non-uniform agents, the bound estimates depend on limiting behavior of $F_n \rightarrow F$ (weakly).
- Performance analysis: approximating (z_1, \dots, z_n) in closed-loop by n independent copies of the McK-V equation driven by $(z_i(0), w_i)$ associated with z_i .

Part IV – Implication for Rational Expectations

Rational Expectations in Macroeconomic Theory. Issue of how economic agents forecast future events (and hence play against macroeconomic policy)

NCE theory gives a coherent and tractable formulation of Rational Expectations in game theoretic economic behaviour with a large number of players. Implications for macroeconomic policy?

In particular, NCE provides a means for maintaining RE in that by this mechanism each individual can forecast

- the overall population behaviour, and
- the associated optimal individual responses

Part IV – Explicit Solutions for LQG-NCE Equation System

For a system of uniform agents with $a_i = a$, $\Phi(z) = \hat{\gamma}(z + \eta)$.

$$\rho s = \frac{ds}{dt} + as - \frac{b^2}{r}\Pi s + \alpha \Pi \bar{z} - z^*$$

NCE $\implies \frac{d\bar{z}}{dt} = (a - \frac{b^2}{r}\Pi)\bar{z} + \alpha \bar{z} - \frac{b^2}{r}s,$
 $z^* = \phi(\bar{z}) = \hat{\gamma}(\bar{z} + \eta).$

 \Downarrow (steady-state)

Part IV – Cost Gap

Solve an LQG game model involving cost coupling with individual cost J_i . Denote Nash equilibrium cost v_{ind} with population limit.

Take welfare function $J = \sum_{i=1}^{n} J_i$ and compute optimal control with cost v_n . Optimal centralized control cost per agent $\bar{v} = \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n/n$.

Cost gap: $v_{ind} - \overline{v}$.



Part V — Fully Nonlinear Models and McK-V-HJB Approach

Dynamics:

$$dz_i = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n f(z_i, u_i, z_j) dt + \sigma dw_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \quad t \ge 0,$$

Costs:

$$J_i(u_i) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} E \int_0^T \left[(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n L(z_i, u_i, z_j) \right] dt, \quad T < \infty.$$

Control set: Each $u_k \in U$ compact.

Other variants of the cost may be considered.

Objective: look for decentralized strategies

Part V – Connection with Statistical Mechanics



Boltzmann PDE describing evolution of spatial-velocity (x - v) distribution u(t, x, v) of huge number of gas particles
Solution to spatially homogeneous Boltzman PDE (for u(t, v)) has a probabilistic interpret. via McKean's Markov system:

- Generator depends on "current density" of the process
- Thus, there exists a driving effect from the mass
 - This feature also appears in our diffusion based models, where current density affects the drift

Part V – Controlled McKean-Vlasov Equations

Controlled McK-V equation via a representative agent:

 $dx_t = f[x_t, u_t, \mu_t]dt + \sigma dw_t,$

where $f[x, u, \mu_t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x, u, y) \mu_t(dy)$.

Individual cost:

$$J(u,\mu) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} E \int_0^T L[x_t, u_t, \mu_t] dt,$$

where $L[x, u, \mu_t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} L(x, u, y) \mu_t(dy)$.

Generalization to multi-class agents corresponds to non-uniform agent case in basic NCE analysis.

Part V – The McK-V-NCE Principle

- Methodology: The key steps are to construct a mutually consistent pair of
 - (i) the mass effect, and
 - (ii) the individual strategies such that the latter not only
 - (a) each constitute an optimal response to the mass effect
 - (b) but also collectively produce that mass effect.
 - In non-uniform NCE-McKV setting, the mass effect is an average w.r.t. the agent type distribution F_a.

Principle: The application of an appropriate, general, Fixed Point Theorem demonstrates that such a solution

- exists, is unique
- and is collectively produced by the actions of the individual agents.

Part V – NCE and McK-V-HJB Theory

HJB equation:

$$-\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \inf_{u \in U} \left\{ f[x, u, \mu_t] \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + L[x, u, \mu_t] \right\} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}$$
$$V(T, x) = 0, \qquad (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}.$$
$$\Downarrow$$

Optimal Control: $u_t = \varphi(t, x | \mu), \quad (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}.$

Closed-loop McK-V equation:

$$dx_t = f[x_t, \varphi(t, x | \mu), \mu_t] dt + \sigma dw_t, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

The NCE methodology amounts to finding a solution (x_t, μ_t) in McK-V sense.

Part V – Outline of Analysis Based on NCE

By the NCE methodology, we carry out the steps:

Construct controlled McKean-Vlasov equation; fixed point theory for existence analysis

Develop HJB equation (involving a measure flow) and derive Optimal Response Mapping for individuals

Establish existence results (for McK-V-HJB system)

For equilibrium analysis – Approximate n "controlled interacting particles" in closed-loop by n independent copies of the McK-V equation

Theorem (Individual Level – Nash) Under mild conditions, the set of McK-V-HJB based controls $\{u_i^0, 1 \le i \le N\}$ results in an ϵ -Nash equilibrium w.r.t. costs $J_i(u_i, u_{-i}), 1 \le i \le N$, i.e.,

$$J_i(u_i^0, u_{-i}^0) - \varepsilon \le \inf_{u_i} J_i(u_i, u_{-i}^0) \le J_i(u_i^0, u_{-i}^0)$$

where $0 < \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Part VI – Generalization to Multi-class Agents

Dynamics:

$$dz_{i} = (1/n) \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_{a_{i}}(z_{i}, u_{i}, z_{j}) dt + \sigma dw_{i}, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \quad t \ge 0,$$

where a_i is the dynamic parameter, indicating type of agent. Costs:

$$J_i(u_i) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} E \int_0^T \left[(1/n) \sum_{j=1}^n L(z_i, u_i, z_j) \right] dt, \quad T < \infty.$$

Control set: Each $u_k \in U$.

The sequence $\{a_i, i \ge 1\}$ takes value from $\mathcal{A} = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_K\}$ with empir. distri. (π_1, \dots, π_K) , i.e., $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{(a_i = \theta_k)} \to \pi_k$.

Part VI – Generalizat'n to Multi-class Agents (ctn)

Controlled McK-V equation via a representative agent:

 $dx_t = f_a[x_t, u_t, \mu_t^1, \cdots, \mu_t^K]dt + \sigma dw_t,$

where $f_a[x, u, \mu_t^1, \cdots, \mu_t^K] = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_a(x, u, y) \mu_t^k(dy)$.

 μ_t^k reproduces the mass interaction generated by the class of agents with parameter $a = \theta_k$. (π_1, \dots, π_K) : para empir. distri.

Individual cost: $J(u,\mu) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} E \int_0^T L[x_t, u_t, \mu_t^1, \cdots, \mu_t^K] dt$, where $L[x, u, \mu_t^1, \cdots, \mu_t^K] = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} L(x, u, y) \mu_t(dy)$.

Distribution over agents would give generalized McK-V-HJB with integral over the agent measures on right hand side.

Part VII – Martingale Representation and the Invariance Principle

Along the optimal controlled trajectory, let

$$\xi_t \triangleq \int_0^t L(z(s), u^*(s, z(s))) ds + V(t, z(t))$$

where $t \in [0, T]$. In stochastic optimal control, it is well known that ξ_t is a martingale. z(t): closed-loop solution when optimal control u^* applied.

V(t, z(t)): value function associated with (t, z(t)).

- In the game problem, each agent essentially solves a local optimal control problem.
- Implication for the large population game when the Nash strategies are collectively applied?

Part VII – The Invariance Principle (ctn)

For the McKean-Vlasov-HJB equation, we make existence assumptions:

(A1) There exists a solution $(x_i(t), V_{a_i}(t, x_i), \hat{u}_i(t, x_i))$ to the McKean-Vlasov-HJB system for multi-class agents.

(A2) The closed-loop drift coefficient $f_{a_i}(x_i, \hat{u}_i(t, x_i))$ is in $C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and Lipschitz continuous in x_i .

• (A3) Under the control \hat{u}_i , $L[x_i, \hat{u}_i(t, x_i), \mu_t^o]$ is in $C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and has a polynomial growth rate with respect to x_i .

We denote $\mu_t^o = [\mu_t^1, \cdots, \mu_t^K]$.

Part VII – The Invariance Principle (ctn)

We use x_i , $i = 1, 2, 3 \cdots$, to denote a sequence of copies of processes generated by the McKean-Vlasov equation.

Theorem Suppose (A1)-(A3) hold. Then the process

$\int_{0}^{r} L[x_{i}(s), \hat{u}_{i}(s, x_{i}(s)), \mu_{s}^{o}]ds + V_{a_{i}}(t, x_{i}(t))$

is a martingale.

By averaging across of the population limit, we get a deterministic martingale, hence a constant:

$$c = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^K \pi_i \pi_j L(x, \hat{u}_{\theta_i}(s, x), y) \mu_s^j(dy) \mu_s^i(dx) ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^K \pi_i V_{\theta_i}(t, x) \mu_t^i(dx) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=1}^K \pi_i V_{\theta_$$

c: determined by the initial condition of the population. θ_i : indicates the type of the agent. After applying the McK-V-HJB based control laws to the population of N agents, we can further show Theorem (Large Population Invariance Principle)

$$\varepsilon_{N} \triangleq \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{0}^{t} L[z_{i}(s), \hat{u}_{i}(s, z_{i}(s)), \mu_{s}^{o}] ds + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V_{a_{i}}(t, z_{i}(t)) - c \right|$$

tends to zero in L_2 , as $N \to \infty$.

Part VII – Computational Example for LQG Systems

Resulting from the invariance principle:

$$c = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_{k} \Big\{ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big[(x - z^{*}(\tau))^{2} + \frac{b^{2}}{r} (\Pi_{\theta_{k}}(\tau)x + s_{\theta_{k}}(\tau))^{2} \Big] dF_{\theta_{k}}^{\tau}(x) d\tau + \int_{\mathbb{R}} [x^{2} \Pi_{\theta_{k}}(t) + 2xs_{\theta_{k}}(t)] dF_{\theta_{k}}^{t}(x) + q_{\theta_{k}}(t) \Big\}.$$

 $F_{\theta_k}^{\tau}(x)$: state distri. at τ for an agent with dynamic parameter θ_k . Assume existence of density $p_{\theta_k}^t(x)$ with suitable regularity; then by taking differentiation, we get

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \Big\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big[(x - z^*(t))^2 + \frac{b^2}{r} (\Pi_{\theta_k}(t)x + s_{\theta_k}(\tau))^2 \Big] p_{\theta_k}^t(x) dx \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial [x^2 \Pi_{\theta_k}(t) + 2x s_{\theta_k}(t)] p_{\theta_k}^t(x)}{\partial t} dx + \frac{dq_{\theta_k}(t)}{dt} \Big\}.$$

Part VIII — Generalization with Interaction Locality

Related Background:

- Social segregation (Schelling, 1971); 1-D line topology
- Retailing services (Blume, 1993); 2-D lattice topology

The individual dynamics:

 $dz_i(t) = [az_i(t) + bu_i(t)]dt + \sigma dW_i(t), \quad 1 \le i \le N, \quad t \ge 0,$

The cost with interaction locality:

$$J_i = E \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t} \left\{ [z_i - \tilde{\Phi}_i]^2 + r u_i^2 \right\} dt,$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_i = \gamma(\sum_{j=1}^N \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} z_j + \eta)$ and $\rho > 0, \gamma > 0, r > 0$. Weight allocation — The set of weight coefficients $\omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)}$ satisfies $\omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} \ge 0, \quad \forall i, j, \qquad \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} = 1, \quad \forall i.$

Part VIII — Example of Weight Allocation

For illustration, consider the 1-D interaction:

- Partition [0, 1] with stepsize 0.01 to get N = 101 locations
- Label the N locations consecutively by p_1, \cdots, p_N .

Let $\omega_{p_ip_j}^{(N)} = c|p_i - p_j|^{-\lambda}$ where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and c is normalizing factor so that all weights add up to one.



(a) uniform/flat allocation $\lambda = 0$



(b) distance-dependent allocation $\lambda = 0.5$

Part VIII — Example of Weight Allocation (ctn)

Consider the 2-D interaction

Partition $[-1,1] \times [-1,1]$ into a 2-D lattice

Weight decays with distance by the rule $\omega_{p_ip_j}^{(N)} = c|p_i - p_j|^{-\lambda}$ where c is the normalizing factor and $\lambda \in [0, 2]$



Part VIII — Notation and Assumptions

Again, let $\Pi_a > 0$ be the solution to the algebraic Riccati equation:

$$\rho \Pi = 2a\Pi - \frac{b^2}{r}\Pi^2 + 1. \tag{9}$$

Denote
$$\beta_1 = -a + \frac{b^2}{r} \prod_a$$
 and $\beta_2 = -a + \frac{b^2}{r} \prod_a + \rho$.

Take $[\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]$ as the locality index set (i.e., line topology)

(C1) $F_{\alpha}(\alpha')$: $[\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ satisfies: i) $F_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ is a probab. distrib. function $\forall \alpha, \int_{\alpha' \in [\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]} dF_{\alpha}(\alpha') = 1$; ii) $\int_{\alpha' \in B} dF_{\alpha}(\alpha')$ is a measurable function of α for each Borel subset B of \mathbb{R} ; iii) $F_{\alpha''}(\cdot)$ converges to $F_{\alpha}(\cdot)$ weakly when $\alpha'' \to \alpha$, where α and α'' are in $[\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]$.

(C2) The constants $\beta_1 > 0$, $\beta_2 > 0$, and the ratio $(\gamma b^2)/(r\beta_1\beta_2) < 1$.

Part VIII — NCE Equation with Interaction Locality

The Localized NCE (Mean Field) equation system:

$$ps_{\alpha} = \frac{ds_{\alpha}}{dt} + as_{\alpha} - \frac{b^2}{r}\Pi_a s_{\alpha} - R_{\alpha},$$
 (10)

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_{\alpha}}{dt} = (a - \frac{b^2}{r}\Pi_a)\bar{z}_{\alpha} - \frac{b^2}{r}s_{\alpha},\tag{1}$$

$$\bar{r}_{\alpha}(t) = \int_{\alpha' \in [\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]} \overline{z}_{\alpha'}(t) dF_{\alpha}(\alpha'), \qquad (12)$$

$$R_{\alpha} = \gamma(\bar{r}_{\alpha} + \eta). \tag{13}$$

Remark: The mean field effect now depends on the location of the agent in question

Theorem Under (C1)-(C2), there exists a unique bounded solution $(s_{\alpha}(\cdot), \bar{z}_{\alpha}(\cdot), r_{\alpha}(\cdot))$ to the NCE equation system (10)-(13).

Part VIII — Assumptions on Weight Allocation

(C3) The weight allocation satisfies the condition

$$\epsilon_N^{\omega} \triangleq \sup_{1 \le i \le N} \sum_{j=1}^N |\omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)}|^2 \to 0, \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty.$$

Roughly, this condition implies the weight cannot highly concentrate on a small number of neighbors; if the decay rate $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, (c3) holds

When the decay rate $\lambda > 1$, (c3) and then deterministic mean field approximation fail

(C4) For each p_i , the empirical distribution

$$F_{p_i}^{(N)}(x) = \sum_{p_j < x} \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

is associated with a distribution function $F_{p_i}(x)$ (specified in (c1)) such that for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a compact subset $D_{p_i}^N$ of $I = [\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]$ with Lebesgue measure meas $(D_{p_i}^N) < \delta$, and $\lim_{N\to\infty} \sup_{1\leq i\leq N} \sup_{x\in I\setminus D_{p_i}^N} |F_{p_i}^{(N)}(x) - F_{p_i}(x)| = 0.$

Part VIII — Equilibrium Analysis

Theorem Under (C1)-(C4), given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N_{ε} such that for all $N \ge N_{\varepsilon}$, the set of control strategies $\{\hat{u}_i, 1 \le i \le N\}$ is an ε -Nash equilibrium w.r.t. costs $J_i(u_i, u_{-i}), 1 \le i \le N$, i.e.,

$$J_i(u_i^0, u_{-i}^0) - \varepsilon \le \inf_{u_i} J_i(u_i, u_{-i}^0) \le J_i(u_i^0, u_{-i}^0), \tag{14}$$

where

$$\hat{u}_i = -\frac{b}{r}(\Pi_a z_i + s_{p_i})$$

and s_{p_i} is given by the new NCE equation system (10)-(13) via the substitution $\alpha = p_i$ in s_{α} .

Note: There is a further ramification of the main theorem:

the population includes several classes of agents,

and the interaction strength is specified according to inter/intra subpopulation interaction

Part IX – Consensus Problem : Background

- Consensus means both the agreement between agents of the group and the process of reaching to such an agreement.
- In standard consensus algorithms, there is a network of agents with dynamics:

$$\dot{z}_i(t) = u_i(t), \quad 1 \le i \le n$$
 (15)

interested in reaching an agreement via local communications with their neighbours on a graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$.

It is shown that the linear system

$$\dot{z}_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij}(z_j(t) - z_i(t)), \tag{16}$$

is a distributed consensus algorithm which guarantees agreement under suitable connectivity assumption.

Part IX – Consensus Problem : Background (cnt)

The dynamics of (16) can be stated in the vector form

$$\dot{z}(t) = -Lz(t), \tag{17}$$

where $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)^T$ is the state vector and L is the graph Laplacian:

 $L = D - \overline{A},$

A is the adjacency matrix

$$[A]_{ij} = \begin{cases} a_{ij} & (j,i) \in \mathcal{E}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and $D = diag(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is the degree matrix of G, $d_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij}$.

Part IX – Consensus Problem : Background (cnt)

Theorem

Consider a network of N agents on a graph G(A), with dynamics

$$\dot{z}_i(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij}(z_j(t) - z_i(t)). \tag{18}$$

Suppose G(A) is a strongly connected digraph and λ is a left eigenvector associated with a simple zero eigenvalue of L(G), i.e. $\lambda^T L = 0$. Then

- a consensus is asymptotically reached for all initial states;
- the group consensus is $\alpha = \sum_i \mu_i z_i(0)$ where $\mu_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_i \lambda_i}$.

if the digraph is balanced, i.e $\sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} = \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ji}$ for all j, an average consensus, $\alpha = (\sum_i z_i(0))/N$, is asymptotically reached.

- We propose a new approach to consensus problem by using the NCE methodology.
- The stochastic dynamics for an individual agent is:

 $dz_i(t) = u_i(t)dt + CdW_i(t), \ t \ge 0, \ 1 \le i \le N,$ (19)

- $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$: the state of agent *i*,
- $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$: control input,
- $\{W_i, 1 \le i \le N\}$: independent *d*-D Wiener processes,
- $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$: the noise intensity matrix.

The general Long Range Average (LRA) LQG cost for agent i:

$$J_i \triangleq \limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \{ (z_i - \Phi_i)^T Q(z_i - \Phi_i) + u_i^T R u_i \} dt,$$
(20)

 Q = Q^T ≥ 0, R = R^T > 0,
 Φ_i = γ ∑_{j=1}^N ω_{pipj}^(N) z_j, ω_{pipj}^(N) : the set of weight coefficients.
 Weight coefficient matrix Ω = (ω_{pipj}^N) is a normalized stochastic matrix.
 Stochastic consensus dynamics (19) + LRA (localized) LQG costs (20)
 = Localized MF formulation of the Consensus Problem.

The NCE methodology for agents with LRA cost (20) and uniform weights, $\omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} = \frac{1}{N}$, has been studied in (Li, Zhang TAC08).

Definition A set of controls u_k , $1 \le k \le N$, is called an asymptotic Nash equilibrium in probability with respect to the costs J_k , if for any $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$ and fixed $i, 1 \le i \le N$, there exist $N_{\epsilon,\delta}$ such that for any $N > N_{\epsilon,\delta}$

$$P\left(\sup_{1\leq i\leq N}\left(J_i(u_i,u_{-i})-\inf_{v_i}J_i(v_i,u_{-i})\right)\geq\delta\right)\leq\epsilon.$$

Li, Zhang has shown that the decentralized control laws have the asymptotic Nash-equilibrium property in the probabilistic sense.

NCE equations of the localized MF formulation of the consensus problem for an infinite population:

$$\frac{ds_{\alpha}}{dt} = \Pi R^{-1} s_{\alpha} + R_{\alpha},$$

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_{\alpha}}{dt} = -R^{-1} \Pi \bar{z}_{\alpha} - R^{-1} s_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in [\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]$$

$$\bar{r}_{\alpha}(t) = \int_{\alpha' \in [\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]} \bar{z}_{\alpha'}(t) dF_{\alpha}(\alpha'),$$

$$R_{\alpha} = \gamma \bar{r}_{\alpha},$$
(21)

where $\Pi > 0$ is the solution of ARE:

$$-\Pi R^{-1}\Pi + Q = 0.$$
 (22)

(C5) Assume $\gamma < 1$. Theorem Under (C1)-(C5), there exists a unique bounded solution $(s_{\alpha}(\cdot), \bar{z}_{\alpha}(\cdot), r_{\alpha}(\cdot))$ to the NCE equation system 21.

Finite population pre-computable NCE consensus equations ($1 \le i \le N$), from (21)

$$\frac{ds_i}{dt} = \Pi R^{-1} s_i + \Phi_i,$$

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{dt} = -R^{-1} \Pi \bar{z}_i - R^{-1} s_i,$$

$$\Phi_i = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} \bar{z}_j.$$
(2)

When s_i is in the steady state:

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{dt} = -R^{-1}\Pi\bar{z}_i + \gamma\Pi^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^N \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)}\bar{z}_j.$$

Set Q = I then from (22) $R^{-1}\Pi = \Pi^{-1}$, and

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{dt} = R^{-1}\Pi(-\bar{z}_i + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} \bar{z}_j).$$

(24)

(25)

NCE consensus equation dynamics [pre-computed feedback];

$$\frac{d\bar{z}}{dt} = -R^{-1}\Pi G\bar{z},\tag{26}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ and

$$(G)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ -\gamma \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\gamma = 1$, G is a normalized Laplacian matrix.

NCE finite population stochastic consensus dynamics with pre-computed feedback (26):

$$dz_{i} = R^{-1} \Pi(-z_{i} + \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega_{p_{i}p_{j}}^{(N)} \bar{z}_{j}) dt + C dW_{i}.$$

Assume Q = R = I and $\gamma = 1$, then there exists a unique, bounded solution to $(1 \le i \le N)$

$$\frac{d\bar{z}_i}{dt} = -(\bar{z}_i - \Phi_i),$$

$$\Phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)} \bar{z}_j,$$

or equivalently

$$rac{dar{z}}{dt} = -Lar{z}, \ ar{z}(0)$$
 given.

In general

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (\bar{z}_i(t) - \bar{z}_j(t)) = 0, \ \forall 1 \le i, j \le N.$$

and for a doubly stochastic Ω

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \bar{z}_i(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \bar{z}_j(0), \ \forall 1 \le i \le N.$$

(27

Infinite Population Stochastic NCE Consensus Problem:

- Prior information $(F_{\alpha}(\cdot), \overline{z}_{\alpha}(0), \alpha \in [\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}])$ available to all agents.
- Deterministic pre-computable "global feedback".
- Nash interpretation.
- Finite Population Stochastic NCE Consensus Problem:
 - Prior information ($\Omega^N, \overline{z}^N_{\alpha}(0), \alpha \in [\underline{\alpha}, \overline{\alpha}]$) available to all agents.
 - Deterministic pre-computable "local feedback".
 - Nash interpretation.

Finite Population Deterministic Consensus Algorithms:

- No prior information.
- Local communications (Laplacian feedback).
- No Nash interpretation.

Part IX – Simulations





(a) Clique graph.

(b) Circular graph.

Convergence in (a) is faster than (b).

Part IX – Simulations (cnt)

For disconnected graphs we have the convergence of each group.



(c) Disconnected graph with two connected groups.

Part IX – NCE Consensus and Standard Consensus

- Stochastic with a cost function verses deterministic without the cost.
- We derive a consensus seeking Laplacian feedback from the NCE equations.

Obtain convergence of the $\bar{z}(t)$ for all $\Omega = (\omega_{p_i p_j}^{(N)})$ which satisfy the localization conditions.

Obtain convergence of each subgroup for disconnected graphs.

There exists a duality between the a priori information needed by the NCE approach for constructing the pre-computed decentralized control laws and the local information exchanges between agents in the standard consensus algorithm.

In the NCE-Consensus formulation, each agent's behaviour is optimal with respect to other agents in a game theoretic Nash sense.

Concluding Remarks

- A theory for decentralized decision-making with many competing agents
- Control synthesis via NCE methodology. Consequences for Rational Expectations and Macroeconomic Policy?
- Existence of asymptotic equilibria (first in population then in time)
- Application to network call admission control (e.g. Ma, Malhamé, PEC)
- Ideas closely related to the physics of interacting particle systems.
 - Suggest a convergence of control theory, multi-agent systems theory and statistical physics into a

cybernetic-math physics synthesis

for mass competitive-cooperative decision problems.