

# Anisotropic rheology and directional mechanotransduction in vascular endothelial cells

Juan C. del Álamo\*, Gerard N. Norwich†, Yi-shuan Julie Li†, Juan C. Lasheras\*†‡, and Shu Chien†‡§

Departments of \*Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, †Bioengineering, and ‡Medicine, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

Contributed by Shu Chien, July 15, 2008 (sent for review May 15, 2008)

**Adherent cells remodel their cytoskeleton, including its directionality, in response to directional mechanical stimuli with consequent redistribution of intracellular forces and modulation of cell function. We analyzed the temporal and spatial changes in magnitude and directionality of the cytoplasmic creep compliance ( $\Gamma$ ) in confluent cultures of bovine aortic endothelial cells subjected to continuous laminar flow shear stresses. We extended particle tracking microrheology to determine at each point in the cytoplasm the principal directions along which  $\Gamma$  is maximal and minimal. Under static condition, the cells have polygonal shapes without specific alignment. Although  $\Gamma$  of each cell exhibits directionality with varying principal directions,  $\Gamma$  averaged over the whole cell population is isotropic. After continuous laminar flow shear stresses, all cells gradually elongate and the directions of maximal and minimal  $\Gamma$  become, respectively, parallel and perpendicular to flow direction. This mechanical alignment is accompanied by a transition of the cytoplasm to be more fluid-like along the flow direction and more solid-like along the perpendicular direction; at the same time  $\Gamma$  increases at the downstream part of the cells. The resulting directional anisotropy and spatial inhomogeneity of cytoplasmic rheology may play an important role in mechanotransduction in adherent cells by providing a means to sense the direction of mechanical stimuli.**

anisotropy | microrheology | shear stress

Blood vessels are exposed to flow-induced shear stresses, which are borne primarily by vascular endothelial cells (VECs) (1). VECs perform functions such as regulation of permeability, the production, secretion, and metabolism of biochemical substances, and modulation of vascular smooth muscle cell contractility. Sustained application (hours) of laminar shear stresses (LSS) to cultured VECs induces cell elongation and alignment along the flow direction (2). The actin stress fibers thicken and gradually align with flow (3), the focal adhesions relocate primarily to the upstream part of the cell (4), and cell–cell junctions are transiently disrupted (5). The structural reorganization of cytoskeleton leads to changes in subcellular microrheology that can play an important role in mechanosensing and signaling by redistributing the external forces among intracellular subdomains (6, 7). Existing evidence suggests that changes in subcellular microrheology, including directionality and polarity, could provide a mechanism for cells to sense external forces and their direction, modulate intracellular signaling, and regulate gene expression and cell turnover (8).

The realization that mechanical polarity may modulate cell function has conferred special significance to measuring the spatiotemporal adaptation of rheological properties of VECs to shear stresses. Sato *et al.* (9) determined the viscous and elastic resistances to micropipette aspiration of VECs after 24 h of directional LSS and provided the first quantitative evidence of the adaptation of VEC mechanical properties to shear stresses, but the aspiration involved relatively large cell deformation (10). Characterization of subcellular changes is needed to understand how cytoskeletal reorientation translates into spatial and directional changes in microrheological properties of VECs. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) has revealed that VECs become more

resistant to indentation by AFM tip 6–24 h after the application of a LSS of 20 dyn/cm<sup>2</sup>, with a transient asymmetry between upstream and downstream parts (11). More recently, particle tracking microrheology (PTM) has been used to investigate the temporal changes in viscoelastic shear moduli of cells in the plane of application of LSS over time courses of seconds (12) and minutes (13). These studies, however, do not address the adaptation of the in-plane microrheological properties of VECs to LSS applied over periods of hours (i.e., the time scale of morphological remodeling), nor the anisotropy of this adaptation, which correlates strongly with the direction of the applied LSS, as shown in this article.

Our work was motivated by the need to measure in a noninvasive way the temporal changes in magnitude, direction, and spatial distribution of rheological properties of VECs subject to prolonged exposure to LSS. We used directional particle tracking microrheology (DPTM), an extension of PTM (14, 15) that analyzes the Brownian dynamics of intracellular particles by measuring the  $2 \times 2$  correlation tensor of particle displacements (16). DPTM allowed us to determine at each instant of time the directions along which the cytoplasmic creep compliance ( $\Gamma$ ) is maximal and minimal (principal directions) at each location.

## Results

**The Mitochondria as Endogenous Probes for DPTM.** Being compact and connected to the cytoskeleton (17), the mitochondria have long been used as endogenous probes to measure intracellular mechanical properties (18, 19). We tracked and analyzed the random motion of these organelles to determine the magnitude and anisotropy of the microrheological properties of VECs subjected to continuous LSS (see *Materials and Methods* and Fig. 1). We accounted for the dynamics and geometry of the mitochondria and corrected for possible sources of artifactual directionality. One of these sources is the persistent motion of some mitochondria due to transport by motor proteins on cytoskeletal tracks. This directed transport has been associated to ATP-dependent superdiffusive dynamics and an increased mobility (20, 21). Directed transport, in contrast to Brownian motion, is anisotropic at long  $\tau$ . This effect can be seen in the mean square displacements (MSD) of a particle in an orthotropic medium being transported at a constant velocity ( $V$ ), and subject to a Brownian motion with diffusion coefficients  $D_+$  and  $D_-$  along the principal directions of the medium. It follows in this simple directed-Brownian model that the principal values of the MSD (PMSD) are  $r_+^2 = (V\tau)^2 + D_+\tau$ , and  $r_-^2 = D_-\tau$ . Thus, the anisotropy of the MSD ( $A_{\text{MSD}}$ ) increases as

Author contributions: J.C.d.Á., J.Y.-S.L., J.C.L., and S.C. designed research; J.C.d.Á., G.N.N., and J.Y.-S.L. performed research; J.C.d.Á., G.N.N., and S.C. analyzed data; and J.C.d.Á., J.C.L., and S.C. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

†To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: shuchien@ucsd.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0804573105/DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/cgi/content/full/0804573105/DCSupplemental).

© 2008 by The National Academy of Sciences of the USA









The particles were tracked from time-lapse sequences of phase-contrast microscopy images using standard procedures (34). Digital processing of the images was performed with custom-written functions in MATLAB (The MathWorks). To determine whether the particle was undergoing active transport or passive diffusion, the MSD of each particle were fit to the curve:

$$\text{MSD} = V^2\tau^2 + D\tau, \quad [4]$$

1. Fung YC, Liu SQ (1993) Elementary mechanics of the endothelium of blood vessels. *J Biomech Eng* 115:1–12.
2. Levesque MJ, Nerem RM (1985) The elongation and orientation of cultured endothelial cells in response to shear stress. *J Biomech Eng* 107:341–347.
3. Galbraith CG, Skalak R, Chien S (1998) Shear stress induces spatial reorganization of the endothelial cell cytoskeleton. *Cell Motility and the Cytoskeleton* 40:317–330.
4. Davies PF, Robotewskij A, Griem ML (1994) Quantitative studies of endothelial cell adhesion. Directional remodeling of focal adhesion sites in response to flow forces. *J Clin Invest* 93:2031–2038.
5. DePaola N, et al. (1999) Spatial and temporal regulation of gap junction connexin43 in vascular endothelial cells exposed to controlled disturbed flows in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 96:3154–3159.
6. Wang N, Butler JP, Ingber DE (1993) Mechanotransduction across the cell surface and through the cytoskeleton. *Science* 260:1124–1127.
7. Chen CS, Tan J, Tien J (2004) Mechanotransduction at cell-matrix and cell–cell contacts. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 6:275–302.
8. Chien S (2007) Mechanotransduction and endothelial cell homeostasis: The wisdom of the cell. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 292:H1209–H1224.
9. Sato M, Ohshima N, Nerem RM (1996) Viscoelastic properties of cultured porcine aortic endothelial cells exposed to shear stress. *J Biomech* 29:461–467.
10. Helmke BP (2005) Molecular control of cytoskeletal mechanics by hemodynamic forces. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 20:43–53.
11. Sato M, Nagayama K, Kataoka N, Sasaki M, Hane K (2000) Local mechanical properties measured by atomic force microscopy for cultured bovine endothelial cells exposed to shear stress. *J Biomech* 33:127–135.
12. Dangaria JH, Butler PJ (2007) Macrorheology and adaptive microrheology of endothelial cells subjected to fluid shear stress. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 293:C1568–C1575.
13. Lee JS, et al. (2006) Ballistic intracellular nanorheology reveals ROCK-hard cytoplasmic stiffening response to fluid flow. *J Cell Sci* 119:1760–1768.
14. Mason TG, Weitz DA (1995) Optical measurements of frequency-dependent linear viscoelastic moduli of complex fluids. *Phys Rev Lett* 74:1250–1253.
15. Tseng Y, Kole T, Wirtz D (2002) Micromechanical mapping of live cells by multiple-particle-tracking microrheology. *Biophys J* 83:3162–3176.
16. Hasnain IA, Donald AM (2006) Microrheological characterization of anisotropic materials *Physical Review E* 73:031901.
17. Lin A, Krockmalnic G, Penman S (1990) Imaging cytoskeleton–mitochondrial membrane attachments by embedment-free electron microscopy of saponin-extracted cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 87:8565–8569.
18. Wang N, et al. (2001) Mechanical behavior in living cells consistent with the tensegrity model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:7765–7770.
19. Hu S, et al. (2003) Intracellular stress tomography reveals stress focusing and structural anisotropy in cytoskeleton of living cells. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 285:C1082–C1090.
20. Knowles MK, Guenza MG, Capaldi RA, Marcus AH (2002) Cytoskeletal-assisted dynamics of the mitochondrial reticulum in living cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99:14772–14777.
21. Hoffman, BD, Massiera, G, Van Citters, KM, Crocker, JC (2006) The consensus mechanics of cultured mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103:10259–10264.
22. Han Y, et al. (2006) Brownian motion of an ellipsoid. *Science* 314:626–630.
23. Fabry B, et al. (2001) Scaling the microrheology of living cells. *Phys Rev Lett* 87:148102.
24. Hu S, et al. (2004) Mechanical anisotropy of adherent cells probed by a three-dimensional magnetic twisting device. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 287:C1184–1191.
25. Karcher H, et al. (2003) A three-dimensional viscoelastic model for cell deformation with experimental verification. *Biophys J* 85:3336–3349.
26. Tseng Y, Lee JS, Kole TP, Jiang I, Wirtz D (2004) Micro-organization and visco-elasticity of the interphase nucleus revealed by particle nanotracking. *J Cell Sci* 117:2159–2167.
27. Kole TP, Tseng Y, Jiang I, Katz JL, Wirtz D (2005) Intracellular mechanics of migrating fibroblasts. *Mol Biol Cell* 16:328–338.
28. Stamenovic D, et al. (2007) Rheological behavior of living cells is timescale-dependent. *Biophys J* 93:39–41.
29. Mizuno D, Tardin C, Schmidt CF, Mackintosh FC (2007) Nonequilibrium mechanics of active cytoskeletal networks. *Science* 315:370–373.
30. MacKintosh FC, Levine AJ (2008) Nonequilibrium mechanics and dynamics of motor-activated gels. *Phys Rev Lett* 100:018104.
31. Wechezak AR, Viggers RF, Sauvage LR (1985) Fibronectin and F-actin redistribution in cultured endothelial cells exposed to shear stress. *Lab Invest* 53:639–647.
32. Girard PR, Nerem RM (1993) Endothelial cell signaling and cytoskeletal changes in response to shear stress. *Front Med Biol Eng* 5:31–36.
33. Osborn EA, Rabodzey A, Dewey CF, Jr., Hartwig JH (2006) Endothelial actin cytoskeleton remodeling during mechanostimulation with fluid shear stress *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 290:C444–C452.
34. Crocker JC, Grier DG (1996) Methods of digital video microscopy for colloidal studies. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 179:298–310.

where  $V$  is a persistent velocity and  $D$  is a diffusion coefficient. Particles undergoing active transport ( $V > 0$ ) show an increased anisotropy of MSD distribution with increasing  $\tau$  (see Fig. 2 in *Results*), and such particles were removed from the calculation of  $\Gamma$ .

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants 1R01 HL080518 and BRP HL064382. JCdA has been partially supported by the Spanish MEC (Fulbright Program).